

Chronic Neuropathic Pain

Mechanisms, Diagnosis, and Treatment

R. Norman Harden, MD

Background: The management of chronic pain represents a significant public health issue in the United States. It is both costly to our health care system and devastating to the patient's quality of life. The need to improve pain outcomes is reflected by the congressional declaration of the present decade as the "Decade of Pain Control and Research," and the acknowledgment in January 2001 of pain as the "fifth vital sign" by the Joint Commission of Healthcare Organizations.

Review Summary: At present, therapeutic options are largely limited to drugs approved for other conditions, including anticonvulsants, antidepressants, antiarrhythmics, and opioids. However, treatment based on the underlying disease state (eg, postherpetic neuralgia, diabetic neuropathy) may be less than optimal, in that 2 patients with the same neuropathic pain syndrome may have different symptomatology and thus respond differently to the same treatment. Increases in our understanding of the function of the neurologic system over the last few years have led to new insights into the mechanisms underlying pain symptoms, especially chronic and neuropathic pain.

Conclusions: The rapidly evolving symptom- and mechanism-based approach to the treatment of neuropathic pain holds promise for improving the quality of life of our patients with neuropathic pain.

(*The Neurologist* 2005;11: 111–122)

Chronic pain is not uncommon, although estimates of its prevalence vary widely from 2% to 40% of all adults.^{1–13} Chronic pain is composed of both nociceptive and neuropathic (also called "neurogenic") components¹⁴ and is often multifactorial. The estimated 3.75 million cases of chronic neuropathic pain in the United States include conditions as diverse as cancer-associated pain, spinal cord injury, low back pain, and phantom pain.¹⁵ Recurrent and persistent pain

ranging from back pain to facial pain was reported by 45% of enrollees in a health maintenance organization in the United States,^{11,12} and in the United Kingdom up to 25% of patients who attended pain clinics experienced neuropathic pain syndromes.² Neuropathic pain associated with disorders such as diabetes mellitus^{16–22} and herpes zoster^{23–27} are the most frequently described and studied, but they are certainly not the exclusive causes of neuropathic pain. Radiculopathy, which may be an underlying cause in many cases involving lower back pain, is probably the most frequent cause of a peripheral nerve pain generator.^{11,28} A partial list of etiologies for neuropathic pain is presented in Table 1.

Neuropathic pain refers to pain caused by a clinically heterogeneous group of disorders that vary widely in etiology and presentation. It includes signs and symptoms that arise from a primary lesion in the peripheral nerve and/or from dysfunction in the central nervous system in the absence of nociceptor stimulation, such as postherpetic neuralgia (PHN).²⁹ In contrast, nociceptive pain is a response triggered by an unpleasant damaging or potentially damaging stimulus in the periphery and can be acute in nature, such as acute postoperative pain.^{29–33} It may also be chronic, such as the inflammation of arthritis. This basic categorization may have clinical significance; for instance, neuropathic pain may not respond as well to opioid or nonsteroidal antiinflammatory analgesic agents, whereas nociceptive pain is usually easily managed with this class of drugs, at least in the short term.^{31,32,34} Neuropathic pain may be treated more effectively by drugs that stabilize or modulate central nervous system function (eg, drugs indicated for seizures or depression) or antiarrhythmic agents such as sodium-channel blockers.^{31,35}

Neuropathic pain brings tremendous direct and indirect costs to patients and their families in terms of pain and suffering, health care expenditures, and quality of life, as well as costs to society in lost productivity and vocational disability. Clinically, it is an endlessly challenging problem that lacks a coherent treatment paradigm. At present, the treatment approach to neuropathic pain relies on antiquated classification systems based on the etiology of pain, its anatomic distribution, or whatever historical French neurologist first

From the Center for Pain Studies, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.

Reprints: R. Norman Harden, MD, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, 345 East Superior Street, Chicago, IL 60611. E-mail: nharden@rehabchicago.org.

Copyright © 2005 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

ISSN: 1074-7931/05/1102-0111

DOI: 10.1097/01.nrl.0000155180.60057.8e

TABLE 1. Causes of Neuropathic Pain

Category	Type
Trauma	Surgery Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) type II Amputation (phantom limb pain/stump pain) Spinal cord injury Crush injuries
Infection	Herpes zoster Infectious mononucleosis Human immune deficiency syndrome Diphtheria Leprosy Syphilis
Vasculitis/connective tissue disorders	Churg-Strauss Cryoglobulinemia Lupus erythematosus Rheumatoid arthritis Polyarteritis nodosa Sjögren syndrome
Toxins	Chemotherapy agents, especially vincristine and cisplatinum Other drugs such as nitrofurantoin, isoniazid, phenytoin, hydralazine, thalidomide Alcohol Arsenic Lead Gold Mercury Glue sniffing
Nutritional deficiency	Niacin Thiamine Pyridoxine Folic acid
Immune mediated	Multiple sclerosis Boeck sarcoidosis Guillain-Barré syndrome Some peripheral neuropathies
Compression/entrapment syndromes	Spinal stenosis Carpal tunnel syndrome Tarsal tunnel Plexus disorders Chronic radiculopathy
Cancer related	Compressive Infiltrative Paraneoplastic Iatrogenic
Metabolic disturbance	Diabetes mellitus Uremia Porphyria Hypothyroidism
Genetically determined	Amyloidosis Fabry disease
Miscellaneous	Hereditary sensory neuropathies Syringomyelia Painful epileptic crisis Chronic progressive or recurrent polyneuropathy

described the syndrome.³⁶ This is less than ideal for several reasons. First, most neuropathic disease states are associated with more than 1 mechanism of pain—and that mechanism usually changes over time. Second, different disease states may produce mechanistically the same neuropathic pain syndrome. And finally, presenting symptoms, signs, and testing are often diverse within a single type of neuropathic pain syndrome.^{37–39}

PHN is a neuropathic pain disorder that can be used to illustrate the pitfalls in treating neuropathic pain according to “etiology.” In PHN, at least 3 different mechanisms for pain have been identified, all of which are associated with direct neuronal damage to both the peripheral and central nervous systems (ie, infectious, inflammatory, and ischemic).^{40,41} Each of these mechanisms is often associated with different symptomatology. For instance, some patients present with profound sensory loss in an area of pain. Others will have pronounced allodynia and hyperalgesia with minimal or no sensory loss. Still others will present with sensory loss *and* allodynia. This diversity of potential mechanisms and symptoms results in complicated and ill-defined “mechanistic diagnoses,” and consequently, the response to treatment is unpredictable. Therefore, 2 different patients with PHN may respond differently to the same treatment.⁴¹

Adding to the clinical challenge of treating neuropathic pain is that most currently prescribed drugs lack evidence-based support in the form of prospective randomized controlled clinical trials or FDA approval for neuropathic pain. (Exceptions to the latter include carbamazepine, which is approved for trigeminal neuralgia,⁴² the lidocaine patch and gabapentin, which are approved for PHN,⁴² and pregabalin, which is approved for PHN and diabetic peripheral neuropathy.^{42a}) Therefore, agents indicated for the treatment of other syndromes including depression, seizures, and cardiac arrhythmias are used off label for the treatment of neuropathic pain.^{16,27,31,35,39,43,44} Without rigorous clinical data to support safety and efficacy in patients with neuropathic pain, formal guidelines for dosage and administration of many of these off-label drugs are lacking. These limitations render the current haphazard treatment approaches cumbersome and often ineffective. With new insights being gained into the biologic mechanisms underlying neuropathic pain, perhaps a more valuable way to view neuropathic pain is not only through a clinical framework that categorizes pain according to the presumed etiology or affected body part, but rather by the presenting signs, symptoms, and electrodiagnostic and quantitative sensory testing, which will all contribute to an analysis of putative mechanisms. This approach has been gaining some acceptance in the pain community.⁴⁵

Close analysis of the published data reveals useful information regarding the clinical utility of commonly used agents for specific neuropathic pain symptoms. Though most of these studies took a historical/empirical approach in treat-

ing patients (that is, specific drugs were evaluated in patients with a specific disease), data regarding the efficacy of drugs for specific signs and symptoms may still be extrapolated. Targeting treatment to symptom/signs/testing (SST) and understanding the relationship between mechanisms and SST will result in more effective therapy and improved quality of life, the ultimate goal of treatment.

It is the goal of this article to review the diagnosis and treatment of neuropathic pain in light of what we now know about the underlying SST and mechanisms of the various neuropathic pain syndromes. A discussion of nonpharmacologic treatments is not included here; the reader is referred to the review by Harden⁴⁶ as a multidisciplinary approach that includes both pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatments for neuropathic pain may be warranted in some patients.

Physiologically, neuropathic pain results from central and/or peripheral nervous system damage, threat of damage or dysfunction.

TYPES OF NEUROPATHIC PAIN

Physiologically, neuropathic pain results from central and/or peripheral nervous system damage, threat of damage or dysfunction, often in the absence of pain-producing stimuli.^{2,36,47} Although nervous system damage would logically be expected to cause a sensory loss (negative symptoms)—with the degree of loss approximating the amount of damage—a small proportion of cases present with various kinds of pain and dysesthesia (or positive symptoms).¹⁵

Complicating the approach to neuropathic pain treatment is confusion over terminology. Most pain experts do not define pain as chronic until it has persisted for 3 to 6 months.⁴⁸ Moreover, there are 2 major types of neuropathic pain, stimulus-evoked pain and stimulus-independent pain (ie, spontaneous pain). Stimulus-evoked pain is characterized by signs of hyperalgesia and allodynia that result from mechanical, thermal, or chemical stimulation. Stimulus-independent pain may be persistent or paroxysmal in nature and may be described as shooting, lancinating, or burning. Paresthesias, defined as abnormal sensations, and dysesthesias, defined as unpleasant abnormal sensations, may be spontaneous or evoked.²⁹

Hyperalgesia refers to an exaggerated pain response produced by a normally painful stimulus (ie, pinprick), while allodynia is pain produced by a stimulus that is not usually painful (ie, light touch).

SYMPTOMS AND MECHANISMS OF NEUROPATHIC PAIN

Stimulus-Evoked Pain

Hyperalgesia

Within the category of stimulus-evoked pain, hyperalgesia and allodynia are 2 symptoms that may be manifest via mechanical, chemical, or thermal stimulation. Hyperalgesia refers to an exaggerated pain response produced by a normally painful stimulus (ie, pinprick), while allodynia is pain produced by a stimulus that is not usually painful (ie, light touch).³⁰

Hyperalgesia can arise from peripheral and/or central mechanisms. Peripherally, sensitization of primary afferent nociceptors (A δ and C fibers) occurs by inflammatory mediators such as bradykinin, histamine, prostaglandins, and substance P released from injured tissue.⁴⁹ Another peripheral mechanism for stimulus-evoked pain involves formation of a neuroma, a tangled mass of regenerating nervous tissue embedded in scar and connective tissue at the site of nerve injury. Neuromas accumulate or “uncover” pathologic and nonpathologic ion channels (eg, sodium channels) and receptors (eg, norepinephrine) that result in foci of hyperexcitability and ectopic activity.^{36,50} The “neuroma sign” may be elicited by mechanically stimulating the affected area, triggering exquisite pain because of changes in afferent nerve membrane properties and mechanical threshold (Tinel sign).

Allodynia

Allodynia is evoked by peripheral stimulation. In response to ongoing nociception or overstimulation, changes in spinal cord dorsal horn cells can occur, resulting in central sensitization or central reorganization and finally leading to allodynia.^{36,49,51,52} Central sensitization may cause an increase in the size of the sensory receptive field, a reduced threshold for sensory (pain) perception, and hypersensitivity to various innocuous stimuli.⁴⁹ At the molecular level, central sensitization occurs when the excitatory amino acids glutamate and aspartate and substance P bind to receptors located on spinal dorsal horn transmission cells (second-order neurons).⁵³ Specific glutamate receptors include NMDA (N-

methyl-D-aspartic acid) and non-NMDA receptors (α -amino 3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoazolepropionic acid [AMPA], kainate), which may enhance and prolong depolarization.^{32,33,36} This increases the responsiveness of the nociceptive system and leads to long-lasting changes in the dorsal horn transmission cells.^{32,33,36} In addition, NMDA receptors may be involved in potentiating synaptic transmission in the hippocampus, a process that may be responsible for “pain memory,” such as that which is evident in phantom limb pain.⁵⁴ In fact, it is likely there are pain-associated excitatory amino acid receptors throughout the neuroaxis. Activation of non-NMDA receptors, specifically, the AMPA and kainate receptors and neurokinin-1 (substance P) receptors, may act to further sensitize the NMDA receptor.^{49,53}

Central changes also occur through reorganization. As the damaged nerve regenerates or begins firing ectopically or ephaptically, A β -fiber sprouting into the pain layers (laminae I and II) may occur.⁵⁵ When nerves that do not normally transmit pain sprout into these more superficial regions of the dorsal horn—regions where the first synaptic relay in pain transmission usually occurs—pain may result from nonnoxious stimuli.⁴⁹ Regeneration also causes sensory disorganization such that the normal somatotopic organization of inputs becomes disordered (“spreading”).⁵⁶

Another central change that contributes to the development of allodynia is the loss of inhibitory controls projecting to the superficial spinal cord dorsal horn. This occurs when segmental inhibitory interneurons (mediated by neurotransmitters like γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA), glycine, and endogenous opioids [enkephalins]), and/or descending inhibitory pathways (mediated by neurotransmitters such as serotonin and norepinephrine) decrease their function.^{49,53} Because this inhibition normally acts as a spinal “gate” for sensory information, reduced inhibition increases the likelihood that the dorsal horn neuron will fire spontaneously or more energetically to primary afferent input.³⁶ Thus, allodynia may result from any of these 3 central mechanisms for stimulus-evoked pain: central sensitization, reorganization, or loss of inhibitory controls.

Stimulus-Independent Pain

Stimulus-independent, or spontaneous, pain by definition occurs without provocation, so symptoms can occur constantly or at any time. Paresthesias and dysesthesias can originate peripherally via ectopic impulses along the A β , A δ , and C fibers, arising as spontaneous activity due to processes such as damaged (“leaky”) sodium channels that accumulate along affected nerves, causing a drift toward threshold potential.^{36,47} Paroxysmal shooting or electrical pain (once thought to distinguish ectopic activity in myelinated fibers), as well as continuous burning pain (thought to be caused by activity in unmyelinated nerves), actually probably occurs from ectopic or ephaptic discharges arising in any type of

fiber.³⁶ Stimulus-independent pain may also occur as a result of reduced inhibitory input from the brain or spinal cord.³³

Mixed Pain Syndromes

In most neuropathic pain syndromes, stimulus-independent pain occurs along with stimulus-evoked pain; for example, spontaneous burning pain and mechanical allodynia in complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS).⁵⁷ In some syndromes, the activity at the site of injury seems to maintain the peripheral and/or central sensitivity in some fashion, and blocking the peripheral input may at least temporarily normalize the altered central processing. Thus, symptoms cease until peripheral input returns.⁵⁸

In most neuropathic pain syndromes, stimulus-independent pain occurs along with stimulus-evoked pain.

ASSESSING PAIN

A full complement of symptoms, signs, and testing is necessary to properly and fully define the putative mecha-

nisms involved in a given neuropathic pain syndrome. A detailed medical and surgical history is an essential first step in understanding pain etiology. A comprehensive physical examination allows the physician to integrate the patient's presenting symptoms and to begin to localize which elements of the neuroaxis are involved. It is particularly important to identify the location, quality, intensity, and pattern of pain. The neurologic examination employs simple bedside tests to assess the patient for the presence or absence of specific stimulus-evoked signs (Table 2). Special attention should be paid to the sensory examination, especially searching for hypoesthesia (numbness) or hyperesthesia (hyperpathia and/or allodynia). A distinction between mechanical and thermal allodynia may have clinical relevance. Testing of reflexes, a comprehensive motor examination, and autonomic examination are all essential to understanding neuropathies. Testing can complement and corroborate careful history and physical examinations and has the advantage of being quantitative, although all tests have their known limitations. A comprehensive list of diagnostic tests evaluating the motor, sensory, and autonomic systems is presented in Table 3. In addition, immunohistochemical staining of skin-punch biopsy specimens using antibodies specific for small-diameter myelinated and unmyelinated peripheral nerves can be used to quantify nerve fiber density in patients with peripheral neuropathy.⁵⁹ The physician should also be aware of any

TABLE 2. Simple Bedside Tests for the Assessment of Stimulus-Evoked Neuropathic Pain

Stimulus-Evoked Sign	Subtype	Assessment	Pathologic Response
<i>Allodynia</i> Definition: normally nonpainful stimulus evokes a painful sensation Control: identical stimulus in unaffected skin does not evoke pain	Mechanical static	Manual light pressure of the skin	Dull pain Burning pain
	Mechanical punctate	Light manual pinprick with a sharpened wooden stick or stiff von Frey hair	Sharp superficial pain
	Mechanical dynamic	Stroking skin with a brush, gauze, or cotton applicator	Sharp, burning, superficial pain
	Mechanical deep somatic	Manual light pressure at the joints	Deep pain at the joints
	Thermal cold	Contact skin with objects at 20°C*	Painful, often burning, temperature sensation
<i>Hyperalgesia</i> Definition: normally painful stimulus evokes a more intense painful sensation Control: identical stimulus in unaffected skin evokes a less painful sensation	Thermal warm	Contact skin with objects at 40°C*	Painful burning temperature sensation
	Mechanical pinprick	Manual pinprick of the skin with a safety pin	Sharp superficial pain
	Thermal cold	Contact skin with coolants such as acetone* or cold metal	Painful, often burning, temperature sensation
	Thermal heat	Contact skin with objects at 46°C*	Painful burning temperature sensation

*Control: contact with object at skin temperature.

TABLE 3. Neurologic Tests Utilized in the Diagnostic Assessment of Neuropathic Pain

Neurological System	Diagnostic Test	Fibers Studied*	Function Evaluated	Possible Findings in Neuropathic Pain Patients
Motor	Electromyography (EMG) and motor nerve conduction studies (NCS)	Efferent large myelinated motor axons	Motor nerve conduction velocity and compound muscle action potential amplitude	Velocity and amplitude decreased with reduction in number of large myelinated motor axons or with interruption in myelination
Sensory	Sensory NCS	Afferent large myelinated sensory axons ($A\beta$ fibers)	Sensory nerve conduction velocity and action potential amplitude	Velocity and amplitude decreased with reduction in number of large myelinated sensory axons
	Thermotest [†]	$A\delta$ and C fiber activity arising from nociceptors and mechanoreceptors	Sensory and pain threshold after stimulus with cool and warm temperature	Lower threshold or suprathreshold response to stimuli [‡]
	Microneurography	Single fiber activity arising from nociceptors ($A\delta$ and C fibers) and mechanoreceptors ($A\beta$ fibers)	Presence of ectopic impulses	Ectopic impulse generation along sensory axons
	von Frey hairs [†]	$A\delta$ and C fiber activity arising from nociceptors and $A\beta$ fiber activity arising from mechanoreceptors	Mechanical pressure threshold and tolerance	Lower threshold and tolerance or suprathreshold response to stimuli [‡]
	Algotometer [†]	$A\delta$ and C fiber activity arising from nociceptors and $A\beta$ fiber activity arising from mechanoreceptors	Mechanical pressure threshold and tolerance	Lower threshold and tolerance or suprathreshold response to stimuli [‡]
	Vibrometer [†]	$A\beta$ fiber activity arising from mechanoreceptors	Vibration perception thresholds	Increase thresholds [‡]
Autonomic	Heart rate	Autonomic efferent parasympathetic axons (eg vagus nerve)	Heart rate variation in response to deep breathing	Less variation seen with polyneuropathy affecting vagal function
	Quantitative sudomotor axon reflex test (QSART)	Sympathetic postganglionic sudomotor axons	Sweat gland response to stimulation	Excess or persistent sweat with reduced latency or reduced sweat volume consistent with peripheral neuropathy
	Skin temperature and blood flow measurements with thermistor, thermography and laser Doppler	Sympathetic postganglionic vasoconstrictor axons	Comparison of skin temperature of involved extremity to asymptomatic extremity	Early, warmer skin on involved side from vasodilatation; later, cooler skin from vasoconstriction

* $A\delta$ and C fiber activity arises from nociceptors and may be activated by heat and cold pain as well as painful pressure. $A\beta$ fiber activity arises from mechanoreceptors and may be activated by touch and vibration stimuli.

[†]Quantitative sensory testing (QST).

[‡]Lower pain thresholds suggest allodynia. Increased pain or perception thresholds suggest hypoesthesia or hypoalgesia.

comorbid conditions affecting the patient's pain experience and quality of life, such as sleep disturbance, anxiety, or depression, which may help guide treatment decisions.

Measuring Pain

Several subjective and quasi-objective tools have been developed to measure pain. One of the oldest and best

validated is the visual analog scale (VAS), which measures pain on a horizontal, 100-mm line.^{60,61} The left end is labeled "no pain," while the right end is labeled "worst pain imaginable." Patients mark the point on the line that subjectively corresponds to their pain. The pain level is "quantified" by measuring the distance from the left in millimeters. It is important to remember that putting a mark on a line is a behavior, and

as such is subject to all the usual modifiers of behavior. Although most classic studies evaluating pain use the VAS, other scales are also used both in research and clinically.¹⁴

The McGill Pain Questionnaire is an extensively validated tool that allows patients to specify subjective pain experience using sensory, affective, and evaluative descriptors. The commonly used “short form” includes a 5-point verbal descriptor scale and a VAS.⁶¹ The Neuropathic Pain Scale (NPS) specifically focuses on adjectives that have been demonstrated to be statistically associated with neuropathic pain. Hence, this questionnaire is also a means to arrive at a quasi-quantitative score.⁶² Two items in the NPS assess the global dimensions of pain intensity and pain unpleasantness. The 8 additional qualities of neuropathic pain assessed include sharp, hot, dull, cold, sensitive, itchy, deep, and surface pain.⁶² These types of pain scales can also be used to monitor treatment progress and evaluate outcomes in research. However, they are patient controlled and may be driven by multiple nonpain behaviors. Until the promise of functional MRI or similar technology is fulfilled, these types of instruments are the best tools we have for measuring the patient’s pain experience.

TREATMENT

Once the patient has been thoroughly assessed and a putative mechanism devised (working diagnosis), a treatment strategy should be developed to hopefully normalize the underlying CNS dysfunction and thus directly alleviate the associated unpleasant signs and symptoms. Drugs thought of traditionally as antidepressants, anticonvulsants, and antiarrhythmics may be used to treat neuropathic pain.³⁵ It should be noted, however, that randomized, controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of these drugs in alleviating neuropathic pain or reducing specific neuropathic pain symptoms in humans are presently limited. Drugs that have been shown in clinical trials to have a beneficial impact on specific neuropathic pain symptoms are listed in Table 4^{63–76} and dosing for selected agents is presented in Table 5.⁷⁷

Because hyperalgesia probably depends on peripheral, as well as central, changes, treatment can logically be initiated with local therapy.

Hyperalgesia

Because hyperalgesia probably depends on peripheral as well as central changes, treatment can logically be initiated

TABLE 4. Drugs With Clinical Trial Evidence Suggesting Improvement in Specific Neuropathic Pain Symptoms

Symptom	Drug
Hyperalgesia	EMLA cream ⁶³
	Gabapentin ^{64,65}
	Lidocaine IV ⁶⁶
Allodynia	Gabapentin ⁶⁵
	Ketamine IV or IM ^{67,68}
	Lidocaine IV ⁶⁹
	Morphine IV ⁷⁰
	Tramadol ⁷¹
Shooting, lancinating pain	Amitriptyline ⁷²
	Carbamazepine ⁷³
	Gabapentin ⁶⁵
	Imipramine ⁷⁴
	Lamotrigine ⁷⁵
	Phenytoin IV ⁷⁶
	Venlafaxine ⁷⁴
Burning pain	Amitriptyline ⁷²
	Gabapentin ⁶⁴
	Phenytoin IV ⁷⁶

IM indicates intramuscular; IV, intravenous.

TABLE 5. Dosing for Selected Agents

Agent	Dose Range (mg/d)	Frequency
Anticonvulsants		
Carbamazepine	100–1000 mg/d	bid to qid
Gabapentin	900–3600 mg/d	tid
Lamotrigine	150–500 mg/d	bid
Antidepressants		
Amitriptyline	10–200 mg/d	qd
Imipramine	10–200 mg/d	qd to bid
Venlafaxine	37.5–340 mg/d	tid to bid
Other		
Lidocaine	0.25–2 mg/kg/d	Continuous IV
Ketamine	0.25–0.5 mg/kg/dose	q3h (IV or IM)

Modified with permission from Farrar, 1999.⁷⁷
IM indicates intramuscularly; IV, intravenously.

with local therapy (Na⁺ ion block) including topical anesthetic agents like EMLA[®] cream (lidocaine), lidocaine-impregnated patches, or local infusions of lidocaine.^{63,69,78–80} Topical agents have been used with variable success in patients with neuropathic pain.^{41,80,81} However, these results include treatment of a variety of conditions other than just hyperalgesia. In one study, the effects of topical EMLA were tested in patients with hyperalgesia alone, and significant

efficacy was observed.⁶³ Additionally, a lidocaine patch has been shown to alleviate pain in patients with PHN; however, these studies were not specifically designed to assess hyperalgesia.^{79,80} In fact, the 5% lidocaine patch has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of neuropathic pain in patients with PHN.

Capsaicin is believed to relieve pain by selectively stimulating unmyelinated C-fiber afferent neurons, causing the release of substance P.^{82–84} Prolonged application depletes substance P stores (and perhaps other neurotransmitters as well) from sensory nerve endings to ultimately prevent or reduce the transmission of pain. Capsaicin is not always well tolerated by patients due to associated burning, messiness, and the need for repeated applications (3 to 4 times daily for 4 to 8 weeks) before clinical effectiveness can be assessed.¹⁴

Ectopic Activity at a Neuroma

Theoretically, the “neuroma sign” can be at least partially ameliorated by drugs that block ectopic firing secondary to accumulation of dysfunctional (“leaky”) pathologic sodium channels. To date, supporting data are limited to animal studies. These show that intravenous lidocaine, tocainide, and mexiletine given in subanesthetic concentrations stop the firing of spontaneously active fibers in the neuroma without blocking conduction.⁸⁵ Carbamazepine and phenytoin may also be effective.^{86,87} Some studies suggest that, theoretically, other sodium-channel blockers such as lamotrigine or topiramate could be useful, but the data are inconclusive at present.^{88–92} All of these drugs have additional and potentially salient effects.

Allodynia

Many pharmacologic agents have been recommended for the management of allodynia. Local anesthetic blocks are effective in temporarily eliminating thermal and sometimes mechanical allodynia. Their success may result from their ability to inhibit the continued nociceptive input needed to initiate and maintain central sensitization, one of the possible causes of allodynia.⁵⁸ Topical lidocaine has been used successfully to treat patients with PHN experiencing allodynia. The use of lidocaine gel or a 5% patch was significantly more effective than placebo in relieving pain with only minimal increases in lidocaine serum concentrations.^{78–80,93} As mentioned earlier, the lidocaine patch has FDA approval for the “pain” of PHN, but it is unclear from existing data how efficacious it is in treating allodynia per se.

Clinical trials in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy and PHN have demonstrated that tricyclic antidepressants are effective in relieving neuropathic pain, but these studies do not differentiate between allodynia or stimulus-independent symptoms such as burning and lancinating pain.^{43,94} In addition to being excellent Na⁺ channel blockers, the tricyclics are known to inhibit the reuptake of serotonin and

norepinephrine. The analgesic properties of these drugs may be related at least partially to restoration of inhibitory controls.^{27,31,95}

The anticonvulsant gabapentin, a structural analogue of GABA, increases the concentration and possibly the rate of synthesis of native GABA in the brain.^{96,97} Although its mechanism of analgesic effect has not been determined, experimental data suggest that gabapentin acts at multiple central sites.^{96,97} Gabapentin binds with high affinity to a unique site in the brain, which is associated with an auxiliary subunit of Ca⁺² channels. Gabapentin most likely modifies/modulates first- and second-messenger calcium currents and ultimately may cause a decrease in firing of the transmission cell or a decrease in the release of certain monoamine neurotransmitters.⁹⁷ These mechanisms might underlie the effect of gabapentin on allodynia.⁹⁸

In a pilot study of patients with various peripheral and central neuropathic pain syndromes, Attal et al⁶⁵ demonstrated that gabapentin (up to 2400 mg/d) was effective in reducing tactile and cold allodynia. Gabapentin had no effect on normal mechanical and thermal pain thresholds, suggesting a lack of direct antinociceptive effect.

Other GABA-enhancing drugs, including baclofen (a GABA_B agonist), have been shown to be effective in reducing tactile allodynia in rat models.⁹⁹

Traditionally, clinicians have been reluctant to treat pain with opioid analgesics because of multiple concerns, including that of “addiction” to therapy. This approach has been changing, and the clinical use of opioids is becoming more acceptable.^{100–103} Although opioids may not be as effective in neuropathic pain as in nociceptive conditions,³⁴ there is some evidence to support the short-term use of opioids in patients with allodynia. In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, high-dose morphine (mean 19.2 mg infused over 1 hour) was effective in relieving allodynia in 11 of 19 patients with PHN.⁷⁰ Although adverse effects were common, respiratory depression or excessive sedation was not observed. When therapeutic response is suboptimal, it is recommended that other routes of administration be tried or combination therapy with other analgesics such as tricyclic antidepressants be considered.¹⁰⁴ There are no trials of sufficient length to comment on the full set of consequences of long-term opioid therapy.¹⁰⁵

Allodynia may also be treated with drugs that antagonize the NMDA receptors responsible for central sensitization. Some studies suggest that the NMDA antagonist ketamine is effective in treating allodynia in patients with PHN, chronic posttraumatic pain, and chronic neuropathic pain.^{67,68,106,107} NMDA antagonists have also been used in patients with phantom limb pain (ketamine), orofacial pain (ketamine), surgical neuropathic pain (amantadine), diabetic neuropathy (dextromethorphan), and PHN (dextromethor-

phan), although effects on allodynia were not specifically evaluated.^{52,108–110}

Sodium-channel blockers are the mainstay of treatment of chronic neuropathic pain syndromes arising from ectopic discharges in nociceptive fibers.

Treatment of Stimulus-Independent Pain

Sodium-channel blockers are the mainstay of treatment of chronic neuropathic pain syndromes arising from ectopic discharges in nociceptive fibers. Carbamazepine is traditionally the treatment of choice for the shooting, lancinating pain accompanying trigeminal neuralgia and was first proven effective in this condition in the early 1960s.^{73,111–115} One of the most common side effects seen with carbamazepine is skin rash. When skin rash develops, some physicians have had good experience substituting oxcarbazepine for carbamazepine.¹¹⁶ However, there is an estimated 25% cross-reactivity in patients who have had a rash with carbamazepine.¹¹⁷ Alternatively, patients could be started initially on oxcarbazepine, which appears to have a lower incidence of skin rashes than carbamazepine.

Like carbamazepine, lamotrigine has been shown to be more effective than placebo in alleviating the sharp, shooting, or stabbing pain of trigeminal neuralgia when administered with phenytoin or carbamazepine to refractory patients.⁷⁵ However, in a separate placebo-controlled study, lamotrigine 200 mg daily was found to have no effect on pain in 100 patients with neuropathic pain of various etiologies.⁹⁰ In another placebo-controlled trial, a single dose of phenytoin (15 mg/kg infused intravenously over 2 hours) significantly relieved shooting pain in patients experiencing acute flares of neuropathic pain.⁷⁶ Additionally, tricyclic antidepressants may be effective for shooting pain, possibly because of their sodium channel-blocking properties.¹¹⁸

Several trials have demonstrated that tricyclic antidepressants are also effective in alleviating burning pain. Drugs evaluated include amitriptyline (2.5–150 mg/d), desipramine (12.5–250 mg/d), and imipramine (25–350 mg/d).^{72,119–121} However, sedation and anticholinergic effects associated with the tricyclic antidepressants limit their usefulness. Gabapentin also produced a moderate but significant relief of both continuous burning pain and paroxysmal (lancinating/shooting) pain.⁶⁵

Treatment of Complex Regional Pain Syndromes (CRPSs)

CRPS types I and II (formerly reflex sympathetic dystrophy and causalgia, respectively) represent a variety of painful conditions that typically follow injury. The resulting pain is greater than would be expected from the injury, may progress over time, and is often associated with significant motor impairment.⁴⁶ Clinical findings for type I include regional pain, sensory changes, abnormalities of temperature, abnormal sudomotor activity, edema, and abnormal skin color. Type II includes all of the above features, as well as a peripheral nerve lesion.¹²² CRPS types I and II may be associated with sympathetically maintained pain. Probably, for this reason, some of these patients will respond to regional sympathetic blockade with guanethidine or ganglionic blockade with local anesthetics.¹²³ Intravenous regional guanethidine administration significantly reduced pain scores and increased skin temperature of the affected hand of patients with sympathetic dystrophy.¹²⁴ Guanethidine prevents pain transmission by blocking reuptake of norepinephrine at sympathetic nerve endings and further release in response to neuronal stimulation.¹²⁵ Intravenously administered regional bretylium combined with lidocaine has also been studied. Like guanethidine, bretylium inhibits pain transmission by blocking the release of norepinephrine from adrenergic nerve endings. In a study of 13 patients with CRPS, bretylium (1.5 mg/kg) and lidocaine (0.5%) provided 20 days of pain relief as compared with 2.7 days with lidocaine alone.¹²⁵

Cancer-Related Neuropathic Pain

The treatment of cancer pain is complex because multiple nociceptive generators exist concurrently.¹²⁶ An international survey of over 1000 patients with cancer pain found that 72% of patients experienced nociceptive pain, 35% had pain considered to be visceral, and 40% had a neuropathic component to their pain.¹²⁷ Neuropathic pain related to cancer arises from compression or infiltration of nerves by tumor, nerve trauma, surgical procedures, and, significantly, treatments associated with nervous system injury such as chemotherapy or radiation.¹²⁸

It has long been thought that neuropathic pain does not respond optimally to opioids.¹²⁹ However, a number of clinical trials demonstrate that neuropathic pain may respond to opioid doses higher than those used for nociceptive pain.^{70,130} The pain response may also depend upon the quality of pain being treated.¹²⁸ A recent study in cancer patients with neuropathic pain inadequately controlled by opioids has demonstrated clinically significant reductions in burning-pain intensity, shooting-pain frequency, and allodynia 1 to 2 weeks following the addition of gabapentin.¹³¹ Coadministration with opioids did not increase the incidence of adverse effects. The mechanism for gabapentin's potentiation of opioid analgesia is unknown but may be linked to an interaction

with the NMDA receptor system, which may be involved in the development of opioid tolerance.^{131,132} This suggests that gabapentin might beneficially interfere with the mechanisms responsible for opioid resistance in patients with neuropathic pain.

The difficulty in achieving adequate control of neuropathic pain in cancer patients has led to the development of guidelines adapted from the World Health Organization (WHO) Analgesic Ladder.¹³³ Essentially, this algorithm suggests treatment according to the WHO recommendations. Step 1 of the ladder recommends treatment of mild pain: acetaminophen, aspirin, or other nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. If pain persists or increases, the addition of opioids such as codeine, hydrocodone, and oxycodone is recommended as step 2. Step-2 opioids are frequently administered in fixed-dose combinations with acetaminophen or aspirin. Step-3 opioids are prescribed when moderate to severe pain control is needed. Step-3 opioids include morphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone, methadone, and fentanyl. Adjuvant agents such as tricyclic antidepressants, anticonvulsants, local anesthetics, and steroids may be added at any step to enhance analgesic efficacy, treat concurrent symptoms that exacerbate pain, and produce analgesic activity for specific types of pain.¹³⁴ However, the adjuvant agent chosen should target specific neuropathic pain symptoms.

CONCLUSIONS

Effective treatment of chronic neuropathic pain continues to be a clinical challenge due to the variability in presentation across and within disease states and the underlying mechanisms of pain development. At present, therapeutic options are largely limited to drugs approved for other conditions, including anticonvulsants, antidepressants, antiarrhythmics, and opioids. Ongoing research continues to elucidate mechanisms linked to the presenting symptoms for chronic neuropathic pain, providing additional targets for drug activity. The traditional treatment approach, based on the underlying etiology for pain, is currently being supplemented by a more direct symptom- or sign-based, and perhaps ultimately a mechanism-based, approach. Neurologists must be cognizant of this shifting diagnostic focus to achieve more optimal therapeutic outcomes for their patients.

REFERENCES

- Blyth FM, March LM, Brnabic AJ, et al. Chronic pain in Australia: a prevalence study. *Pain*. 2001;89:127–134.
- Bowsher D. Neurogenic pain syndromes and their management. *Br Med Bull*. 1991;47:644–666.
- Brattberg G, Thorslund M, Wikman A. The prevalence of pain in a general population: the results of a postal survey in a county in Sweden. *Pain*. 1989;37:215–222.
- Cassidy JD, Carroll LJ, Cote P. The Saskatchewan health and back pain survey: the prevalence of low back pain and related disability in Saskatchewan adults. *Spine*. 1998;23:1860–1866.
- Croft P, Rigby AS, Boswell R, et al. The prevalence of chronic widespread pain in the general population. *J Rheumatol*. 1993;20:710–713.
- Finnerup NB, Johannesen IL, Sindrup SH, et al. Pain and dysesthesia in patients with spinal cord injury: a postal survey. *Spinal Cord*. 2001;39:256–262.
- James FR, Large RG, Bushnell JA, et al. Epidemiology of pain in New Zealand. *Pain*. 1991;44:279–283.
- Magni G, Caldieron C, Rigatti-Luchini S, et al. Chronic musculoskeletal pain and depressive symptoms in the general population: an analysis of the 1st National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data. *Pain*. 1990;43:299–307.
- Magni G, Rossi MR, Rigatti-Luchini S, et al. Chronic abdominal pain and depression: epidemiologic findings in the United States: Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Pain*. 1992;49:77–85.
- Vickers ER, Cousins MJ. Neuropathic orofacial pain, part 1: prevalence and pathophysiology. *Aust Endod J*. 2000;26:19–26.
- Von Kroff M, Dworkin SF, Le Resche L, et al. An epidemiologic comparison of pain complaints. *Pain*. 1988;32:173–183.
- Von Kroff M, Dworkin SF, Le Resche L. Graded chronic pain status: an epidemiologic evaluation. *Pain*. 1990;40:279–291.
- Von Kroff M, Le Resche L, Dworkin SF. First onset of common pain symptoms: a prospective study of depression as a risk factor. *Pain*. 1993;55:251–258.
- Attal N. Questions and answers. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 1999;100(suppl 173):48–52.
- Bennett GJ. Neuropathic pain: an overview. In: Borsook D, ed. *Progress in Pain Research and Management*. Vol. 9. Seattle, Wa: IASP Press; 1997:109–113.
- Benbow SJ, Cossins L, MacFarlane IA. Painful diabetic neuropathy. *Diabet Med*. 1999;16:632–644.
- Boulton AJ, Knight G, Drury J, et al. The prevalence of symptomatic, diabetic neuropathy in an insulin-treated population. *Diabetes Care*. 1985;8:125–128.
- Galer BS, Gianas A, Jensen MP. Painful diabetic polyneuropathy: epidemiology, pain description, and quality of life. *Diabetes Res Clin Practice*. 2000;47:123–128.
- Partanen J, Niskanen L, Lehtinen J, et al. Natural history of peripheral neuropathy in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;333:89–94.
- Veves A, Manes C, Murray HJ, et al. Painful neuropathy and foot ulceration in diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care*. 1993;16:1187–1189.
- Young MJ, Boulton AJ, MacLeod AF, et al. A multicentre study of the prevalence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in the United Kingdom hospital clinic population. *Diabetologia*. 1993;36:150–154.
- Zeigler D, Gries FA, Spuler M, et al. The epidemiology of diabetic neuropathy: Diabetic Cardiovascular Autonomic Neuropathy Multi-center Study Group. *J Diabetes Complications*. 1992;6:49–57.
- Cunningham AL, Dworkin RH. The management of post-herpetic neuralgia. *BMJ*. 2000;321:778–779.
- Gershon AA. Epidemiology and management of postherpetic neuralgia. *Semin Dermatol*. 1996;15(suppl 1):8–13.
- Haas N, Holle E, Hermes B, et al. Acute herpes zoster neuralgia: retrospective analysis of clinical aspects and therapeutic responsiveness. *Dermatology*. 2001;202:302–307.
- Helgason S, Petursson G, Gudmundsson S, et al. Prevalence of postherpetic neuralgia after a first episode of herpes zoster: prospective study with long term follow up. *BMJ*. 2000;321:794–796.
- Kost G, Straus SE. Postherpetic neuralgia: pathogenesis, treatment, and prevention. *New Engl J Med*. 1996;335:32–42.
- Bennett GJ. Neuropathic pain: new insights, new interventions. *Hosp Pract*. 1998;33:95–114, 107.
- Serra J. Overview of neuropathic pain syndromes. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 1999;100(suppl 173):7–11.
- Merskey H. Classification of chronic pain: descriptions of chronic pain syndromes and definitions of pain terms. *Pain*. 1986;3:S1–S226.
- Karlsten R, Gordh T. How do drugs relieve neurogenic pain? *Drugs Aging*. 1997;11:398–412.
- Mannion RJ, Woolf CJ. Pain mechanisms and management: a central perspective. *Clin J Pain*. 2000;16:S144–S156.
- Woolf CJ, Costigan M. Transcriptional and posttranslational plasticity

- and the generation of inflammatory pain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1999;96:7723–7730.
34. Arnér S, Meyerson BA. Lack of analgesic effect of opioids on neuropathic and idiopathic forms of pain. *Pain*. 1988;33:11–23.
 35. Carter GT, Galer BS. Advances in the management of neuropathic pain. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin North Am*. 2001;12:447–459.
 36. Woolf CJ, Mannion RJ. Neuropathic pain: aetiology, symptoms, mechanisms, and management. *Lancet*. 1999;353:1959–1964.
 37. Fields H, Rowbotham MC. Multiple mechanisms of neuropathic pain: a clinical perspective. In: Gerhart GF, Hammond DL, Jensen TS, eds. *Proceedings of the 7th World Congress on Pain: Progress in Pain Research and Management*. Seattle, Wa: IASP Press; 1994:437–454.
 38. Kroenke K, Mangelsdorff AD. Common symptoms in ambulatory care: incidence, evaluation, therapy, and outcome. *Am J Med*. 1989;86:262–266.
 39. McQuay H, Carroll D, Jadad AR, et al. Anticonvulsant drugs for management of pain: a systematic review. *BMJ*. 1995;311:1047–1052.
 40. Fields HL, Rowbotham M, Baron R. Postherpetic neuralgia: irritable nociceptors and deafferentation. *Neurobiol Dis*. 1998;5:209–227.
 41. Bonezzi C, Demartini L. Treatment options in postherpetic neuralgia. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 1999;100(suppl 173):25–35.
 42. *Physician's Desk Reference*. 56th ed. Montvale, NJ: Medical Economics; 2002:1319–1320, 3234–3236.
 - 42a. *FDA Approves Pfizer's Lyrica™ for the Treatment of the Two Most Common Forms of Neuropathic (Nerve) Pain*. New York; December 31, 2004. Available at: http://pfizer.com/are/investors_releases/2004pr/mn_2004_1231.cfm
 43. Max MB, Lynch SA, Muir J, et al. Effects of desipramine, amitriptyline, and fluoxetine on pain in diabetic neuropathy. *N Engl J Med*. 1992;326:1250–1256.
 44. Ross EL. The evolving role of antiepileptic drugs in treating neurogenic pain. *Neurology*. 2000;55(suppl 1):S41–S46.
 45. Woolf CJ, Bennett GJ, Doherty M, et al. Towards a mechanism-based classification of pain? *Pain*. 1998;77:227–229.
 46. Harden RN. A clinical approach to complex regional pain syndrome. *Clin J Pain*. 2000;16:S26–S32.
 47. Ochoa J, Torebjork HE. Paraesthesiae from ectopic impulse generation in human sensory nerves. *Brain*. 1980;103:835–854.
 48. Verhaak PF, Kerssens JJ, Dekker J, et al. Prevalence of chronic benign pain disorder among adults: a review of the literature. *Pain*. 1998;77:231–239.
 49. Siddall PJ, Cousins MJ. Spine pain mechanisms. *Spine*. 1997;22:98–104.
 50. England JD, Happel LT, Kline DG, et al. Sodium channel accumulation in humans with painful neuromas. *Neurology*. 1996;47:272–276.
 51. Baron R. Peripheral neuropathic pain: from mechanisms to symptoms. *Clin J Pain*. 2000;16(2 suppl):S12–S20.
 52. Martin WJ, Malmberg AB, Basbaum AI. Pain: nocistatin spells relief. *Curr Biol*. 1998;8:R525–R527.
 53. Attal N, Bouhassira D. Mechanisms of pain in peripheral neuropathy. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 1999;100(suppl 173):12–24.
 54. Stannard CF, Porter GE. Ketamine hydrochloride in the treatment of phantom limb pain. *Pain*. 1993;54:227–230.
 55. Woolf CJ, Shortland P, Coggeshall RE. Peripheral nerve injury triggers central sprouting of myelinated afferents. *Nature*. 1992;355:75–78.
 56. Koerber HR, Mirnics K, Brown PB, et al. Central sprouting and functional plasticity of regenerated primary afferents. *J Neurosci*. 1994;14:3655–3671.
 57. Cline MA, Ochoa J, Torebjork HE. Chronic hyperalgesia and skin warming caused by sensitized C nociceptors. *Brain*. 1989;112:621–647.
 58. Gracely RH, Lynch SA, Bennett GJ. Painful neuropathy: altered central processing maintained dynamically by peripheral input. *Pain*. 1992;51:175–194.
 59. Arezzo JC. New developments in the diagnosis of diabetic neuropathy. *Am J Med*. 1999;107:9S–16S.
 60. Melzack R. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: major properties and scoring methods. *Pain*. 1975;1:277–299.
 61. Melzack R. The short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire. *Pain*. 1987;30:191–197.
 62. Galer BS, Jensen MP. Development and preliminary validation of a pain measure specific to neuropathic pain: the Neuropathic Pain Scale. *Neurology*. 1997;48:332–338.
 63. Attal N, Brasseur L, Chauvin M, et al. Effects of single and repeated applications of a eutectic mixture of local anaesthetics (EMLA®) cream on spontaneous and evoked pain in post-herpetic neuralgia. *Pain*. 1999;81:203–209.
 64. Serpell MG, Neuropathic Pain Study Group. Gabapentin in neuropathic pain syndromes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Pain*. 2002;99:557–566.
 65. Attal N, Brasseur L, Parker F, et al. Effects of gabapentin on the different components of peripheral and central neuropathic pain syndromes: a pilot study. *Eur Neurol*. 1998;40:191–200.
 66. Attal N, Gaude V, Brasseur L, et al. Intravenous lidocaine in central pain: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, psychophysical study. *Neurology*. 2000;54:564–574.
 67. Eide PK, Jorum E, Stubhaug A, et al. Relief of post-herpetic neuralgia with the N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptor antagonist ketamine: a double-blind, cross-over comparison with morphine and placebo. *Pain*. 1994;58:347–354.
 68. Eide PK, Stubhaug A, Oye I, et al. Continuous subcutaneous administration of the N-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA) receptor antagonist ketamine in the treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia. *Pain*. 1995;61:221–228.
 69. Baranowski AP, De Coursey J, Bonello E. A trial of intravenous lidocaine on the pain and allodynia of postherpetic neuralgia. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 1999;17:429–433.
 70. Rowbotham MC, Reisner-Keller LA, Fields HL. Both intravenous lidocaine and morphine reduce the pain of postherpetic neuralgia. *Neurology*. 1991;41:1024–1028.
 71. Sindrup SH, Andersen G, Maden C, et al. Tramadol relieves pain and allodynia in polyneuropathy: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. *Pain*. 1999;83:85–90.
 72. Max MB, Culnane M, Schafer SC, et al. Amitriptyline relieves diabetic neuropathy pain in patients with normal or depressed mood. *Neurology*. 1987;37:589–596.
 73. Campbell FG, Graham JG, Zilkha KJ. Clinical trial of carbamazepine (Tegretol) in trigeminal neuralgia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1966;29:265–267.
 74. Sindrup SH, Bach FW, Madsen C, et al. Venlafaxine versus imipramine in painful polyneuropathy: a randomized, controlled trial. *Neurology*. 2003;60:1284–1289.
 75. Zakrzewska JM, Chaudhry Z, Nurmikko TJ, et al. Lamotrigine (Lamictal) in refractory trigeminal neuralgia: results from a double-blind placebo controlled crossover trial. *Pain*. 1997;73:223–230.
 76. McClean GJ. Intravenous infusion of phenytoin relieves neuropathic pain: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, crossover study. *Anesth Analg*. 1999;89:985–988.
 77. Farrar JT. Neuropathic pain: definition, diagnosis, and therapy. In: Perry MC, ed. *Alexandria, Va: American Society of Clinical Oncology*; 1999:405–415.
 78. Rowbotham MC, Davies PS, Fields HL. Topical lidocaine gel relieves postherpetic neuralgia. *Ann Neurol*. 1995;37:246–253.
 79. Rowbotham MC, Davies PS, Verkempinck C, et al. Lidocaine patch: double-blind controlled study of a new treatment method for post-herpetic neuralgia. *Pain*. 1996;65:39–44.
 80. Galer BS, Rowbotham MC, Perander J, et al. Topical lidocaine patch relieves postherpetic neuralgia more effectively than a vehicle topical patch: results of an enriched enrollment study. *Pain*. 1999;80:533–538.
 81. Watson CP. The treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia. *Neurology*. 1995;45:S58–S60.
 82. Rains C, Bryson HM. Topical capsaicin: a review of its pharmacological properties and therapeutic potential in postherpetic neuralgia, diabetic neuropathy, and osteoarthritis. *Drugs Aging*. 1995;7:317–328.
 83. Ellison N, Loprinzi CL, Kugler J, et al. Phase III placebo-controlled trial of capsaicin cream in the management of surgical neuropathic pain in cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol*. 1997;15:2974–2980.
 84. Capsaicin Study Group. Treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy with topical capsaicin: a multicenter, double-blind, vehicle-controlled study. *Arch Intern Med*. 1991;151:2225–2229.
 85. Chabal C, Russell LC, Burchiel KJ. The effect of intravenous lidocaine,

- tocainide, and mexiletine on spontaneously active fibers originating in rat sciatic neuromas. *Pain*. 1989;38:333–338.
86. Burchiel KJ. Carbamazepine inhibits spontaneous activity in experimental neuromas. *Exp Neurol*. 1988;102:249–253.
 87. Yaari Y, Devor M. Phenytoin suppresses spontaneous ectopic discharge in rat sciatic nerve neuromas. *Neurosci Lett*. 1985;58:117–122.
 88. Eisenberg E, Lurie Y, Braker C, et al. Lamotrigine reduces painful diabetic neuropathy: a randomized, controlled study. *Neurology*. 2001;57:505–509.
 89. Devulder J, De Laat M. Lamotrigine in the treatment of chronic refractory neuropathic pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2000;19:398–403.
 90. McCleane G. 200 mg Daily of lamotrigine has no analgesic effect in neuropathic pain: a randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. *Pain*. 1999;83:105–107.
 91. McCleane GJ. Lamotrigine in the management of neuropathic pain: a review of the literature. *Clin J Pain*. 2000;16:321–326.
 92. Gilron I, Booher SL, Rowan JS, et al. Topiramate in trigeminal neuralgia: a randomized, placebo-controlled multiple crossover pilot study. *Clin Neuropharmacol*. 2001;24:109–112.
 93. Devers A, Galer BS. Topical lidocaine patch relieves a variety of neuropathic pain condition: an open-label study. *Clin J Pain*. 2000;16:205–208.
 94. Watson CP, Chipman M, Reed K, et al. Amitriptyline versus maprotiline in postherpetic neuralgia: a randomized, double-blind, crossover trial. *Pain*. 1992;48:29–36.
 95. Gram LF. Antidepressants: receptors, pharmacokinetics and clinical effects. In: Burrows GD, Norman T, Davies B, eds. *Antidepressants*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers; 1983:81–95.
 96. Petroff OA, Rothman DL, Behar KL, et al. The effect of gabapentin on brain gamma-aminobutyric acid in patients with epilepsy. *Ann Neurol*. 1996;39:95–99.
 97. Taylor CP, Gee NS, Su T-Z, et al. A summary of mechanistic hypotheses of gabapentin pharmacology. *Epilepsy Res*. 1998;29:233–249.
 98. Vanegas H, Schaible H. Effects of antagonists to high-threshold calcium channels upon spinal mechanisms of pain, hyperalgesia and allodynia. *Pain*. 2000;85:9–18.
 99. Hwang JH, Yaksh TL. The effect of subarachnoid gabapentin on tactile-evoked allodynia in a surgically induced neuropathic pain model in the rat. *Reg Anesth*. 1997;22:249–256.
 100. Bannwarth B. Risk-benefit assessment of opioids in chronic noncancer pain. *Drug Saf*. 1999;21:283–296.
 101. Dellemijn PL. Opioids in non-cancer pain: a life-time sentence? *Eur J Pain*. 2001;5:333–339.
 102. Foley KM. Controlling cancer pain. *Hosp Pract*. 2000;35:101–112.
 103. Savage SR. Opioid therapy of chronic pain: assessment of consequences. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1999;43:909–917.
 104. Hanks GW, Forbes K. Opioid responsiveness. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1997;41:154–158.
 105. Harden RN. Chronic opioid therapy: another reappraisal. *APS Bull*. 2002;12:1–4.
 106. Felsby S, Nielsen J, Arendt-Nielsen L, et al. NMDA receptor blockade in chronic neuropathic pain: a comparison of ketamine and magnesium chloride. *Pain*. 1996;64:283–291.
 107. Parsons CG. NMDA receptors as targets for drug action in neuropathic pain. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2001;429:71–78.
 108. Mathisen LC, Skjelbred P, Skoglund LA, et al. Effect of ketamine, an NMDA receptor inhibitor, in acute and chronic orofacial pain. *Pain*. 1995;61:215–220.
 109. Pud D, Eisenberg E, Spitzer A, et al. The NMDA receptor antagonist amantadine reduces surgical neuropathic pain in cancer patients: a double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled trial. *Pain*. 1998;75:349–354.
 110. Nelson KA, Park KM, Robinovitz E, et al. High-dose oral dextromethorphan versus placebo in painful diabetic neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia. *Neurology*. 1997;48:1212–1218.
 111. Nicol CF. A four year double-blind study of Tegretol in facial pain. *Headache*. 1969;9:54–57.
 112. Killian JM, Fromm GH. Carbamazepine in the treatment of neuralgia: use and side effects. *Arch Neurol*. 1968;19:129–136.
 113. Rockliff BW, Davis EH. Controlled sequential trials of carbamazepine in trigeminal neuralgia. *Arch Neurol*. 1966;15:129–136.
 114. Burke WJG, Grant JMF, Selby G. The treatment of trigeminal neuralgia: a clinical trial of carbamazepine (Tegretol). *Med J Aust*. 1965;1:494–498.
 115. Swerdlow M. The treatment of shooting pain. *Postgrad Med J*. 1980;56:159–161.
 116. Sindrup SH, Jensen TS. Pharmacotherapy of trigeminal neuralgia. *Clin J Pain*. 2002;18:22–27.
 117. Beran RG. Cross-reactive skin eruption with both carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine. *Epilepsia*. 1993;34:163–165.
 118. Deffois A, Fage D, Carter C. Inhibition of synaptosomal veratridine-induced sodium influx by antidepressants and neuroleptics used in chronic pain. *Neurosci Lett*. 1996;220:117–120.
 119. Max MB, Schafer S, Culnane M, et al. Amitriptyline, but not lorazepam, relieves post-herpetic neuralgia. *Neurology*. 1988;38:1427–1432.
 120. Kishore-Kumar R, Max MD, Schafer SC, et al. Desipramine relieves post-herpetic neuralgia. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1990;47:305–312.
 121. Sindrup SH, Gram LF, Skjold T, et al. Concentration-response relationship in imipramine treatment of diabetic neuropathy symptoms. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1990;47:509–515.
 122. Stanton-Hicks M, Baron R, Boas R, et al. Complex regional pain syndromes: guidelines for therapy. *Clin J Pain*. 1998;14:155–166.
 123. Bullitt E. The treatment of hyperalgesia following neural injury. In: Willis WD Jr, ed. *Hyperalgesia and Allodynia*. New York: Raven Press; 1992:345–361.
 124. Glynn CJ, Basedow RW, Walsh JA. Pain relief following post-ganglionic sympathetic blockade with IV guanethidine. *Br J Anaesth*. 1981;53:1297–1302.
 125. Hord AH, Rooks MD, Stephens BO, et al. Intravenous regional bretylium and lidocaine for treatment of reflex sympathetic dystrophy: a randomized, double-blind study. *Anesth Analg*. 1992;74:818–821.
 126. Cherny NI, Foley KM. Nonopioid and opioid analgesic pharmacotherapy of cancer pain. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am*. 1996;10:79–102.
 127. Caraceni A, Portenoy RK. An international survey of cancer pain characteristics and syndromes. *Pain*. 1999;82:263–274.
 128. Martin LA, Hagen NA. Neuropathic pain in cancer patients: mechanisms, syndromes, and clinical controversies. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 1997;14:99–117.
 129. Watson CPN. The treatment of neuropathic pain: antidepressants and opioids. *Clin J Pain*. 2000;16(suppl):S49–S55.
 130. Cherny NI, Thaler HT, Friedlander-Klar H, et al. Opioid responsiveness of cancer pain syndromes caused by neuropathic or nociceptive mechanisms: a combined analysis of controlled, single-dose studies. *Neurology*. 1994;44:857–861.
 131. Caraceni A, Zecca E, Martini C, et al. Gabapentin as adjuvant to opioid analgesia for neuropathic cancer pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 1999;17:441–445.
 132. Trujillo KA, Akil H. Inhibition of morphine tolerance and dependence by the NMDA receptor antagonist MK-801. *Science*. 1991;251:85–87.
 133. Jadao AR, Browman GP. The WHO analgesic ladder for cancer pain management: stepping up the quality of its evaluation. *JAMA*. 1995;274:1870–1873.
 134. Jacox AK, Carr DB, Payne R, et al. *Management of Cancer Pain: Clinical Practice Guideline*. Rockville, Md: Agency for Healthcare Policy and Research; 1994.